

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

ZOE KHAITAN, *on behalf of herself
and all others similarly situated,*

Plaintiff,

v.

LEHIGH UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:24-cv-831

**COMPLAINT – CLASS
ACTION**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Zoe Khaitan (“Plaintiff”), by and through her undersigned counsel, brings this Class Action Complaint against Defendant Lehigh University (“Lehigh” or “Defendant”), and alleges as follows based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to her, which are based on personal knowledge.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Higher education is no different from any other industry in as much as consumers (*i.e.*, students) have the ability to shop between different educational products offered by competitive institutions before ultimately purchasing the product that is right for them.

2. Some colleges and universities offer an educational product without access to a campus or in-person community, while others offer an educational

product with access to a varied suite of services, activities, facilities and experiences through an on-campus, in-person educational experience.

3. Plaintiff, an undergraduate student during the Spring 2020 semester, paid tuition and fees to enroll in Lehigh's on-campus, in-person education program, including all the benefits and services associated therewith for the entirety of the semester.

4. Plaintiff's paid-for experience was not provided throughout the Spring 2020 semester, when that in-person educational experience was taken away from Plaintiff and other students at Lehigh.

5. In March 2020, in response to the outbreak of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, the virus that causes the COVID-19 disease (the "COVID-19 pandemic"), Lehigh, like many other universities, transitioned to remote online-only education, cancelled on-campus recreational events, cancelled student activity events, and ordered students to refrain from going on campus.

6. As a result, all on-campus education, services, and amenities were no longer available to Lehigh students for the remainder of the Spring 2020 semester.

7. Despite the harsh reality that students could no longer enjoy the benefit of the bargain for which they pre-paid, Lehigh refused to provide a prorated refund of tuition or fees tied to its on-campus education, services, and amenities that were not available to students for a significant part of the Spring 2020 semester.

8. Accordingly, Lehigh's students lost the benefits of the bargain for services, access, and the experience they paid for but could no longer access or use following the school's transition to remote learning in March 2020.

9. By not giving prorated refunds for tuition or fees charged for on-campus education and services not provided, Lehigh breached its contracts with students or was otherwise unjustly enriched.

10. It cannot be disputed that the circumstances underlying this legal action are unfortunate and unprecedented. However, the students did not choose these circumstances, and they certainly did not agree to pay tuition and fees for online-only education and services.

11. It is unfair and unlawful for Lehigh to retain tuition and fees for campus-based in-person education and services that was not provided and to pass the financial losses on to its students.

12. Importantly, Plaintiff does not challenge Defendant's discretion in adhering to federal, state, and local health guidelines, but rather challenges Lehigh's decision to retain the tuition and fees, paid by Plaintiff and other students for in-person education, experiences, access to campus, and services, without providing such for the entire duration of the Spring 2020 semester.

13. Plaintiff brings this class action for damages and restitution resulting from Lehigh's retention of the tuition and fees paid by Plaintiff and the other putative

Class members for in-person education and services not being provided. Specifically, this lawsuit seeks disgorgement of the prorated, unused amounts of the fees that Plaintiff and other putative Class members paid, but for which they were not provided the benefit, as well as a partial prorated tuition reimbursement representing the difference in fair market value between the on-campus product for which they had paid, and the online product that they received.

PARTIES

14. Plaintiff, Zoe Khaitan, is an adult, who at all relevant times, is a resident and citizen of New Jersey. She paid tuition and fees for the Spring 2020 semester. Beginning in approximately March of 2020, Plaintiff was forced to take her classes remotely, refrain from visiting campus, and prevented from utilizing various on-campus services for which she paid.

15. Plaintiff was an undergraduate student enrolled at Lehigh for the Spring 2020 semester, which was scheduled to run from approximately January 13, 2020 to May 13, 2020. In or around March 15, 2020, the campus was closed due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Plaintiff paid tuition and fees for an in-person educational experience and access to campus during the Spring 2020 semester, the benefits of which she lost because Lehigh closed the campus and cut off access to on-campus services, facilities, and extracurricular activities.

16. Defendant Lehigh is a private university founded in 1865. Lehigh boasts that it offers 100 undergraduate programs and over 40 master's, doctoral and professional programs. Defendant's undergraduate program enrolls students from Pennsylvania as well as throughout other states and countries. Its principal campus is located in the city of Bethlehem, Northampton County, Pennsylvania. Defendant is a citizen of Pennsylvania.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interests and costs.

18. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and because Defendant conducts substantial business by operating its principal campus in this District and soliciting students residing in this District to attend its institution.

19. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, specifically, the contracts that are the subject of this action were formed in this District, and the performance and breach of contract also occurred in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS.

20. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, Lehigh had a longstanding tradition of offering face-to-face classroom settings on campus. Lehigh portrayed itself as one of the “nation’s premier research universities.”¹

21. Upon information and belief, when students register for courses, Lehigh’s electronic course catalog and registration portal list information such as the physical, on-campus location including building, room and times each class was scheduled to meet in.

22. To enroll in classes, Lehigh students are required to pay tuition and some form of mandatory fees. The Spring 2020 semester was scheduled to commence on or about January 20, 2020, and end on or about May 13, 2020. In or around March 11, 2020, the campus was closed due to the COVID-19 pandemic.²

23. Tuition and fees for the Spring 2020 quarter was \$27,395.00 for full-time traditional undergraduate student plus a \$225.00 Technology Fee, and a \$125.00 Student Activity Fee.³

¹ <https://web.archive.org/web/20200412094726/https://www1.lehigh.edu/about/university-statistics> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024)

² <https://web.archive.org/web/20191220142956/http://catalog.lehigh.edu/academiccalendar/> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024).

³ <https://web.archive.org/web/20191230073137/http://catalog.lehigh.edu/informationofgeneralinterest/costofattendance/> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024)

24. Lehigh uses its marketing materials, course catalog, and other bulletins to solicit students for its in-person, on-campus academic programs. Lehigh encourages people to visit Lehigh on their website. Lehigh advertise it is “[l]ocated in the heart of the beautiful Lehigh Valley, Bethlehem is a vibrant city, rich in history and culture and known for its small-town friendliness and feel.”⁴

25. Lehigh also markets its campus and community to its students specifically, Lehigh highlights the community ideals that set Lehigh apart from other institutions.⁵

26. On its home page, Lehigh describes hands-on and experiential learning as a core benefit of enrollment at the university. For example, Lehigh’s website highlights “[a]s members of our dynamic and collaborative community, students at Lehigh pursue their passions through academic rigor, a flexible curriculum, hands-on learning experiences and innovative research.”⁶

27. Lehigh further highlights the learning environment by stating “Lehigh’s challenging curriculum and engaged faculty encourage risk-takers, problem-solvers and creative thinkers to discover, adapt and thrive.”⁷

⁴ <https://web.archive.org/web/20200412095256/https://www1.lehigh.edu/about> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024)

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ <https://web.archive.org/web/20191127162933/https://www1.lehigh.edu/about/university-statistics> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024).

⁷ <https://web.archive.org/web/20200412093832/https://www1.lehigh.edu/academics> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024).

28. Lehigh even enticed students to enroll in Lehigh by showcasing “Lehigh University blends rigorous academic programs with a vibrant life in and outside the classroom, offering distinctive programs that support, guide, challenge and inspire our students. From your first steps on campus until you earn your diploma, Lehigh will help you chart your unique path for success --all within an environment that fosters personal growth and development.”⁸ Lehigh also advertises “Ivy-covered gothic architecture buildings on a mountain-side campus that enjoys the beauty of four seasons, adjacent to a vibrant college town, makes Lehigh a draw for students who picture this collegiate atmosphere when applying to college.”⁹

29. Lehigh also touts the benefits of other on-campus resources. For example, Lehigh markets its student campus life on its website and states “Lehigh offers over 200 student clubs and organizations providing something for everyone.”¹⁰ Lehigh also advertises, “[e]ven without formally belonging to a specific student group, you can participate in weekly activities like Lehigh After Dark events, trivia nights, make-and-takes, open mics, etc.”¹¹

⁸ <https://web.archive.org/web/20200416101035/https://www2.lehigh.edu/student-life> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024)

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ <https://web.archive.org/web/20200416101033/https://www2.lehigh.edu/student-life/clubs-and-organizations> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024)

¹¹ *Id.*

30. Lehigh promotes its arts and athletics offerings, “Whether you are interested in participating in Lehigh’s club or Division I athletics scene as a player or a fan, taking advantage of the many on-campus art galleries or exploring your passion for music or theater, Lehigh has something for everyone.”¹²

31. Plaintiff and members of the Class paid their tuition in the Spring 2020 semester to enjoy everything Lehigh offered them, including on-campus resources, campus community, and in-person education for the entire Spring 2020 term.

32. When enrolling in classes for Spring 2020, Plaintiff and members of the Class chose to enroll in classes offered in-person, to obtain the benefits outlined above.

33. Plaintiff and members of the Class were also required to pay mandatory fees for the Spring 2020 term. The Technology Fee was \$225, and Student Activity Fee was \$125 that were billed during each semester.

34. Plaintiff and the members of the Class paid the mandatory fees for the Spring 2020 semester so they could benefit from on-campus services and facilities offered by Lehigh to its students.

35. Despite Plaintiff and Class Members paying the Spring 2020 semester tuition and Mandatory Fees to attend Lehigh for in-person and on-campus

¹² <https://web.archive.org/web/20190929144944/https://www2.lehigh.edu/student-life/arts-and-athletics> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024).

educational experience, services, and access, Lehigh failed to provide the promised in-person education for the duration of the entire semester, instead providing only online instruction for part of the Spring 2020 semester.

A. In Response to COVID-19, Lehigh Closed Campus, Preventing Access to its Facilities, Services, Housing, and Dining, and Cancelled All In-Person Classes

36. On March 12, 2020, Lehigh announced that the remainder of the spring semester would be taught remotely.¹³ Lehigh announced that students would be required to leave campus by Monday, March 15.¹⁴ They also announced, “Only staff who are considered essential to University operations AND who cannot perform these functions remotely should be coming onto campus.”¹⁵

37. Lehigh did not resume any in-person classes for undergraduate students after the March 12, 2020 announcement. All Spring 2020 classes were only offered in a remote online format with no in-person instruction or interaction.

38. Most of the services for which the Mandatory Fee was assessed were also terminated or cancelled at or about this time, such as access to student health

¹³ <https://www2.lehigh.edu/news/31220-lehigh-moves-to-remote-learning-for-remainder-of-academic-semester> (last visited Feb 26, 2024)

¹⁴ <https://www2.lehigh.edu/news/31120-lehigh-moves-to-remote-learning-temporarily> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024).

¹⁵ <https://www2.lehigh.edu/news/31820-room-and-board-remote-operations-and-other-information> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024).

and wellness facilities, programs or services; studios and study spaces; fitness facilities; and student events.

39. Although Lehigh provided prorated refunds for residence hall rooms and dining hall plans, Lehigh refused to adjust its tuition policies, including its policies governing tuition or the mandatory fees. Under those policies, the shift to exclusive remote online instruction occurred after students had already registered for course and made the necessary financial obligations to pay the associated tuition and fees.

B. Students Experienced Significant Losses, in Many Cases of Borrowed Funds as a Result of Lehigh's Conduct.

40. At Lehigh, the median federal loan debt among borrowers who completed their undergraduate degree is \$21,960.¹⁶ The median monthly federal loan payment (if it were repaid over 10 years at 5.05% interest) for student federal loan borrowers who graduated from Lehigh is \$233.¹⁷

41. With the campus shut down for the entire term, Plaintiff and the proposed Class have been deprived of the benefits of the on-campus educational experience, services, and access to campus as set forth above. Nevertheless, Lehigh

¹⁶ <https://www.usnews.com/best-colleges/lehigh-university-3289/paying> (last visited Feb. 26, 2024).

¹⁷ *Id.*

has refused to refund any portion of the tuition or the Mandatory Fee, despite not providing the on-campus educational product for which students paid.

42. Students attending Lehigh's Spring 2020 term did not choose to attend an online institution of higher learning, but instead chose to enroll in Lehigh's in-person, on campus educational program.¹⁸

43. During the Spring 2020 term, Lehigh principally used programs by which previously recorded lectures were posted online for students to view on their own, or by virtual Zoom meetings. Therefore, there was a lack of classroom and experiential learning and interaction between students and professors and among students that students enrolling at Lehigh paid for.

44. The online formats used by Lehigh did not require memorization or the development of strong study skills given the absence of any possibility of being called on in class and the ability to consult books and other materials when taking exams.

45. Students were deprived of the opportunity for hands-on, experiential, and collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique.

¹⁸ Had Plaintiff and the Class chose to seek online only education, they could have done so at significantly cheaper prices, based on offering in the same geographic location and nationwide. Further academic research shows that online programs are typically the cheaper alternative to traditional in-person and on-campus educational products.

46. Access to facilities such as libraries, laboratories, computer labs, and study rooms, integral to a university education, and access to the myriad activities offered by campus life that foster social development, leadership, wellness, independence, and networking for future careers, are all substantial and material parts of the basis upon which Lehigh can charge the tuition it charges. Contrary to Lehigh's promises, these services and facilities were not provided.

47. Lehigh has not made any refund of any portion of the tuition Plaintiff and the members of the Class paid for the Spring 2020 semester for the period it moved to online distance learning.

48. Nor has Lehigh refunded any portion of the Mandatory Fee it collected from Plaintiff and the members of the Class for the Spring 2020 semester even though Defendant closed or ceased operating the services and facilities for which the Mandatory Fee was intended to pay.

49. Plaintiff and the Class are therefore entitled to a *pro rata* refund of the tuition and Mandatory Fees they paid Lehigh for the Spring 2020 semester for the remaining days of that semester after classes moved from in-person to online and facilities were closed.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

50. Plaintiff brings this case individually and, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of the class defined as:

All Lehigh University students who satisfied their payment obligations for the Spring Semester 2020 tuition and/or Mandatory Fees and enrolled in at least one in-person on-campus class (the “Class”).

51. Specifically excluded from the Class are all students who received full Lehigh-funded scholarships for the Spring 2020 semester, Defendant, Defendant’s officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and its heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant’s officers.

52. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff reserves the right to amend, narrow, or expand the class definitions.

53. **Numerosity:** The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, Lehigh reported thousands of students enrolled for the 2019-2020 school year. The names and addresses of all such students are known to Lehigh and can be identified through Lehigh’s records. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by recognized, Court-approved notice dissemination methods, which may include U.S. Mail, electronic mail, Internet postings, and/or published notice.

54. **Commonality:** There are questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class including, without limitation:

- a. Whether Lehigh accepted money from Plaintiff and the Class members in exchange for the promise to provide an in-person and on-campus educational experience, as well as certain facilities and services throughout the Spring 2020 term;
- b. Whether Lehigh breached its contracts with Plaintiff and the members of the Class by failing to provide them with an in-person and on-campus educational experience during the entirety of the Spring 2020 term;
- c. Whether Lehigh breached its contracts with Plaintiff and the Class by transitioning to remote education, and failing to provide the services and facilities to which the Mandatory Fees pertained;
- d. Whether Lehigh was unjustly enriched by retaining a portion of the tuition and Mandatory Fees during the period of time Lehigh was closed during the Spring 2020 term, and Plaintiff and the members of the Class were denied an in-person and on-campus educational experience and access and the services and facilities for which the Mandatory Fee was paid; and
- e. The amount of damages and other relief to be awarded to Plaintiff and the Class members.

55. **Typicality:** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class. Plaintiff and the other Class members each contracted with Defendant for it to provide an in-person and on-campus educational experience for the tuition they paid and the services and facilities for the Mandatory Fees that they paid, that Lehigh stopped providing for the remainder for the Spring 2020 term.

56. **Adequacy of Representation:** Plaintiff is an adequate class representative because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the other Class members whom she seeks to represent. Plaintiff has retained competent counsel who are experienced in complex class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously. Class members' interests will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and her counsel.

57. **Predominance.** Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members. Similar or identical violations, business practices, and injuries are involved. Individual questions, if any, pale by comparison, in both quality and quantity, to the numerous common questions that dominate this action. For example, Defendant's liability and the fact of damages is common to Plaintiff and each member of the Class. If Defendant breached its contracts to Plaintiff and Class members, then Plaintiff and each Class member suffered damages by that conduct.

58. **Superiority:** A class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by Plaintiff and the other Class members is relatively small compared to the burden and expense that would be required to individually litigate their claims against Lehigh, making it impracticable for Class Members to individually seek redress for Lehigh's wrongful conduct. Even if Class members could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. Individual litigation creates a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments and increases the delay and expense to all parties and the court system. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of a single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

59. **Ascertainability:** Members of the Class are ascertainable. Class membership is defined using objective criteria, and Class members may be readily identified through Defendant's books and records.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACT
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

60. Plaintiff repeats and re-allege the factual allegations above, as if fully alleged herein.

61. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class.

62. When Plaintiff and Class members paid Lehigh tuition and the Mandatory Fees for the Spring 2020 term, Lehigh agreed to, among other things, provide an in-person and on-campus educational experience as well as the services and facilities to which the Mandatory Fee they paid pertained throughout the Spring 2020 term. As a result, Plaintiff and each member of the Class entered into binding implied contracts with Lehigh.

63. When entering into implied contracts, Plaintiff and Class members reasonably believed and expected that Lehigh would provide them with an on-campus and in-person educational experience, as opposed to remote learning, and use of Defendant's facilities and services for the duration of the entire Spring 2020 semester as mutually agreed and intended in accordance with Defendant's publications, including but not limited to, its marketing materials, course catalogues, and other bulletins, as well as Lehigh's history and prior course of providing in-person and on-campus education.

64. Plaintiff and Class members fully performed their obligations under their implied contracts with Lehigh by registering for classes and paying tuition and the Mandatory Fee.

65. Defendant is in possession of all contracts, materials, circulars, advertisements and the like between Plaintiff and members of the Class on one hand, and Lehigh on the other.

66. Lehigh breached its contracts with Plaintiff and the Class by failing to provide the promised in-person and on-campus educational experience as well as the services and facilities to which the Mandatory Fees pertained throughout the Spring 2020 semester, yet has retained monies paid by Plaintiff and the Class for an on-campus and in-person educational experience and access to these services and facilities during the entire Spring 2020 semester. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have therefore been denied the benefit of their bargain.

67. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of Lehigh's breach in the amount of the prorated portion of the tuition and Mandatory Fees they each paid equal to the reduction in contracted for education and services during the Spring 2020 term when Lehigh discontinued in-person classes and closed campus facilities.

68. Lehigh should return such portions of the tuition and Mandatory Fees to Plaintiff and each Class Member.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
UNJUST ENRICHMENT
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

69. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the factual allegations above, as if fully alleged herein.

70. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class in the alternative to the First Claim for Relief, to the extent it is determined that Plaintiff and the Class do not have an enforceable contract with Lehigh regarding the relief requested.

71. Plaintiff and members of the Class conferred a benefit on Lehigh in the form of tuition and the Mandatory Fees paid for the Spring 2020 term. The payment of this tuition and Mandatory Fees was to be in exchange for an in-person, on-campus educational experience to be provided to Plaintiff and the members of the Class throughout the Spring 2020 semester.

72. Lehigh knowingly accepted the benefits conferred upon it by Plaintiff and Class members.

73. Lehigh has retained the full benefit of the tuition and Mandatory Fee payments made by Plaintiff and the members of the Class for the Spring 2020 term—without providing the benefits that Plaintiff and Class members were owed.

74. For example, Lehigh failed to provide Plaintiff and Class Members access to many on-campus facilities and services throughout the entire Spring 2020

term, yet Lehigh assessed Plaintiff and Class Members with tuition and fees that covered the cost of upkeep and maintenance of such facilities and services.

75. Indeed, as a result of closing campus and moving classes online, Lehigh saved significant sums of money in the way of reduced utility costs, reduced meaning and staffing requirements, reduced or eliminated hours for hourly employees, reduced or eliminated hours for paid work student students, and otherwise.

76. The costs incurred for having an online only program are significantly lower than the overhead needed to provide classes and services on campus.

77. As a result of Lehigh's retention of all the tuition and Mandatory Fees paid by Plaintiff and members of the Class during the period of time Lehigh was closed, Plaintiff and the members of the Class were denied an in-person and on-campus educational experience and access and the services and facilities for which the Mandatory Fees were paid. This was unjust and inequitable under the circumstances.

78. Allowing Lehigh to retain the full benefit of tuition and Mandatory Fees paid for in-person on campus education and experiences, after reducing the benefit provided and the costs incurred by Lehigh, unjustly enriched the Defendant.

79. Accordingly, Lehigh has been unjustly enriched and should return the prorated portion of the tuition and Mandatory Fees that Plaintiff and Class members

each paid equal to the reduction in benefit for education and services during the remainder of the Spring 2020 semester when Lehigh discontinued in-person classes and closed campus facilities.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, prays for relief as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Class under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class;
- (b) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class on all counts asserted herein;
- (c) For compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact;
- (d) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- (e) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses;
- (f) Awarding pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded; and,

(g) Awarding such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

A jury trial is demanded on all claims so triable.

Dated: February 26, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Nicholas A. Colella

Gary F. Lynch (PA ID No. 56887)

Nicholas A. Colella (PA ID No.
332699)

LYNCH CARPENTER, LLP

1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222

Telephone: 412-322-9243

Facsimile: 412-231-0246

gary@lcllp.com

nickc@lcllp.com

Michael A. Tompkins, Esq.*

Anthony Alesandro, Esq.*

LEEDS BROWN LAW, P.C.

One Old Country Road, Suite 347

Carle Place, NY 11514

(516) 873-9550

mtompkins@leedsbrownlaw.com

aalesandro@leedsbrownlaw.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff and Proposed
Class*

**Pro hac vice forthcoming*